

RECORD OF COMMUNICATION

PERSON CONTACTED:		ORGANIZATION & PHONE#:	
Virginia Laszewski, NEPA Implementation, OECA		312/885-7501	
FROM: Deanna Stashuk, Citizen -Lapeer County, MI			
DATE:	12/16/2014	TIME:	8:59AM
SUBJECT:	Rover Pipeline (MI, OH, WV, PA) (US/Canada border cross- ing) FERC EIS (natural gas pipeline)		

SUMMARY OF COMMUNICATION:

Ms. Stashuk called: She reminded me that she sent me an email w/her concerns regarding the Rover Project along a 15 mile stretch in Lapeer County, MI and that in my response I invited her to call me. She knows "EPA pulls a lot of weight."

Stashuk: She wants to make me/EPA aware that Rover is still trying to purchase land/eminent domain. . . . Rover should be forced to use the ITC route. . . . what do I think? . . .

EPA: Final decisions have not been made . . . FERC has not yet put out the DEIS for public review and comment . . . so route alts and/or route modifications are not final at this time. EPA will conduct an independent review and comment on the DEIS during FERC's public comment period.

Stashuk: It is possible for Rover to use the ITC route.

EPA: What and where is the ITC route? Don't people own land along the ITC corridor?

Stashuk: Yes, but the people are already living next to the ITC r-o-w . . . whereas Rover's proposed r-o-w would plow right through virgin land. . . . wetlands . . .

EPA: I appreciate your concerns and comments. I recommend: 1) you provide your comments directly to FERC (they are the EIS lead and decision making agency for this project), 2) you comment on FERC's DEIS during the public comment period, 3) You let your local/state representatives know about your concerns, 4) when you make generalized statements/claims make sure you have data/information/proof that verifies your statements/claims.

Stashuk: Yes, appreciate your recommendations.

ACTION TAKEN:	
COPIES TO:	1. NEPA FERC IN/IL Rover Pipeline file - citizens. Ken.